

all

Contents.

- Personality Classification
1. ~~What~~ Why do ~~we do this?~~?
 2. What is the use, given different types?
Specifics on Strengths?
Weaknesses of the system?
Systems,
3. Conclusion.

The Myers-Briggs ?
 Other Personality Typologies.
 Some Key Strengths and
 Limitations

I. Why do Personality Classification?

There are few people, if any,
 who is not guilty of the oversimplification
 of other people, including those
 who they might claim to know
 best, including close friends, family,
 colleagues and other associates. The
 tendency to try and fail to
 simplify other people into

understanding and predictability is
so prevalent, ~~and~~ that one
might ~~as~~ rightly say it is
universal or nearly so, and
that being universal must ~~have~~ ^{indicate}
either something useful in
part, or a ~~striving~~ striving after
something that might be useful
for alleviating some condition
existing in our species.

~~What~~ I think we would wish

is
to throw at least one other
person completely, if we could;
and for some of us who have
not gone too far astray from
what would be approved of socially
in our own behavior, would like
to become fully thrown ourselves.

useful
is
wish
For those of us who ever
enough, we would like to know
the other to an extent, that
we could divulge everything about

risk is some reward of
 complete deviance from the
 other, to form a more
 symmetrical & complete level of
 knowledge in a marriage or
 close friendships.

Relationships of complete mutual
 understanding without risk is one
 great ideal ~~idea~~ many understand,
 (including those who have given up
 this possibility through sheer error);

but
 of end
 great
 error
 the
 past
 "Ho
 void
 pro
 other
 hor

but there is another category
of understanding about humans of
great interest surrounding our
currently limited ability to predict
the future and understand the
past about our fellow people:

"How might I fill the
void in history and in other
people or a reliable understanding of
other people (human nature) ?

how might I know how

6

~~are~~ ~~asking~~ ~~to~~ ~~be~~ ~~done~~

others might behave under

different circumstances despite

wide variability/diversity and

gaps in knowledge? We wish

to prevent ~~and~~ crimes, avoid

danger, and employ competent

and qualified people. This is why

profits of individuals in ~~order~~

human resources & in criminal

justice, & the law,

are all considered important,
 and all draw upon expertise
 in the field of psychology,
 to provide relief in the
 effects to simplify human
 beings into systems, ~~of~~ that
 are easy enough to comprehend
 to serve as tools ~~for~~ for ~~going~~
 decision making; for gaining via
 a framework, more complete &
 powerful information.

Who might use a personality
 classification system such as
 the Myers Briggs? If it were
 proven to be useful enough in
 its aims, I believe anyone
 would want to use it, and
 probably master it, since
 one would have a considerable
 strategic disadvantage to lack it,
 considering all humans to present
 have tried & failed to attain

this
 gain of
 that the
 to lack
 concern
 could
 of pr
 lesse
 hold
 in
 name

this knowledge in pretense?

~~again~~ futility. It is my opinion

that the futility is not due

to lack of effort, but ~~great~~ ^{limitations}

concerning the maturity of our

certification around social expectations

of privacy, of tabs, ~~and~~ of

lessen cognitive resources to

hold all the required information

in memory, of being able to

remember? compare more than

a small number of people not
sufficiently sampling the full
diversity of people spanning
languages and cultures, \int
times. We lack history, \int
knowledge of our origin \int
prehistory \int , limitations of
non human ancestors: their
behaviors creating permanent path
dependencies for us for all time.
We also lack technology for

gathering complete analytics
 on all human activity, which
 amounts to saying we cannot
 complete our datum, and
 furthermore ~~cannot~~ ^{cannot}
 store, search, or organize
 the information completely
 or nearly so, or in a way
 that alternative organization
 cannot be ruled out as better
 organizations, more descriptive

) w better prediction power
 than others that might
 seem very different. This
 amounts to finding a culturally
 neutral superior model of data
 for human behavior primarily
 summary of the data is
 a simplified human useable
 format, but also the organization
 of the data's means of collecting
 short data that cannot

frequently change (at present)

once the technology has been

developed to do so.

Given these considerations it

should come as no surprise

that the Myers Briggs

has not become the tool

everyone desires to complete

their repertoire of social skills)

techniques. ^{No one} ~~few~~ thinks it

would do this: if they did

it would probably be secret.
 Of those who know about it,
 there is no unwillingness to
 show it, and few would
~~not~~ likely wish to see it
 more than only briefly, and
 it appears it ~~is~~ is as much
 a form of entertainment;
 speculation, as astrology ^{has} ~~been~~
 been, which is of course
 worthless for understanding; predictive

other outside the game it
 matter for other to jointly
 engage in and be partly
 determined by. Outside of public
 interest which is partly justified
 by the sense that the system
 may provide descriptively accurate
 feedback & ^{partial} personal summarization,
 There are psychological professionals
 business professionals, & others who
 rely on the tool to an extent to

↳ end understanding & facilitate

communication, & it is an

not even of anyone who would

not want to include results from

profiles of individuals who

have taken it - at best,

some or most would want to

limit its explanatory power!

reliance upon it without question or

use of
alternative sources of information

that might be better suited for

truthful / infallible
description of the person. ~~the~~

~~the person's~~
~~the person's~~

I believe by this point in this
article, without saying anything much
about the Myers-Briser Hall, ~~as~~
~~as~~ the reader must feel that it
offers very little, compared to
that which might be desired. I
think also, however, that one
might feel for how important
such efforts at understanding

of Humanity are, and
so most of the work of this
article has been completed,
primarily by sharing what I
have learnt in my experience
as an interdisciplinarian sometimes
confused for someone taking on
depth over breadth. Maybe the
biggest among the biggest limitations
of the Myers Briggs is that
it has come from a single

discipline, of professionals resisting
 interdisciplinarity. Coming from
 a background in Psychology myself,
 and departing from it, I can say
 this is a mistake, a
 complete generality typology would
 require that one would avoid.
 For we touched on not only
 psychology, but history, archaeology,
 anthropology, zoology, paleontology,
 religion, computer science, data science

analytics, information sciences

scientific modeling, neuroscience

(one should infer this), mathematics or out

stats, so, ~~and~~ business management,

human resources, digital evidence,

law, criminal justice, and so on,

and if one is striving to

categorize? classify over

peers, do we not wish to

isolate, howe their mines have

blended w/ knowledge?

~~Despite~~ This is necessary, since
 on reflection, you will see
 you think in a culture, which
 already is all the available
 knowledge or behavior in your
 parts of our scattered species.

Putting all this to the side, ~~it~~^{we}
~~is important to~~ should transition
 to discuss the major basis
 itself, ? the conditions involved
 in the creation of that typology

22

, other typologies, & any

typologies of any kind, including

folk typologies, and typologies

we form as we creatively

and independently create ourselves.

This is the topic and now that

we have the context we can

discuss it more directly

~~at (circled) (circled) (circled)~~

23

II. What is the use of given Difficulties?

Strengths & Weaknesses do
the system's systems.

A primary difficulty faced by

creators of systems like the

myself Briggs, is: What does this

do for me that is better than

a complete and truthful

description of a person? A

perfect autobiography with an

index?

29

Whether it is trying to achieve
is certainly a kind of summary
if not a high value pattern
extraction of this complete
autobiographical account. We
can gain for a picture of
a persons durable personality.
A typological classification of
who they are.

Why not just go with

who they are? d.E. complete
description of them?

Impossibility of creating:

Communications are understood,

a complete autobiography of

any person makes it entirely

necessary to be selective in

what to write. This is why

you have autobiographies that

are not trillions of pages,

and even this would be summary.

So we choose what to say.

We choose after a pattern —

and not the complete
datum. We want to know

the pattern that is you.

Are you a pattern or
are you all that you lives
and the Earth or it reveals?

After looking at it deeply
you'll find, and I'll break

knows that ~~the~~ your story

cannot be completely told without

the surrounding story which is

the story of everything else

~~at~~ we know we are a

substory or constituent of.

I will not say "everything"

or "story of everything here"

because I have no way to know

what might be relevant before

or outside of after our

witness.

If we think of our personality

test question, we ~~do~~ know

The creators must be looking for
a summer story which to them
fulfills goals they have in
mind, if they are clearly defined.

It is my view that they
do not adequately treat of
these topics, for if they did,
in a sceptical/philosophical
frame of mind, they would be
more willing to make defects
known, and less willing

30.

to lopsidedly market only

those beneficial features

they have, if they really

exist, with the aim of

spreading their use?

procurement, for self-expansion

profit.

In Myra Prager is to

the test vectors and the test

prerequisites.

For everyone else, test

subject > beta test ²⁾ ~~users~~

for expanded science: "Did

I take the test versus am

I the test user both" just

is similar to "product user"

and consumer: "I spent my

money and I gave a

positive review."

For the user, the goal is

self understanding, ~~and~~ and

expansion of the ability to

draw. Because all have access

32

and goals and are occasionally
unable to bring about those
changes by petrification
and predetermination: Either
way.

leg

"d

~~the~~ J

cutt

not

new.

Ignorance is civilization recollection.

"I remembered; no more"

~~Q~~ This is if one knows all

culture has to offer.

Not what one has written at that's

new.

When should your children
sit drunk?

When parents is incomplete
[When should your children
sex binge?

When should your children

gawds : become

Honored Veterans?

The bible provides no message to

know who anyone is

via patterns.

Without patterns you can know

none.

? Let me tell you,

I am immensely so

very pattern 22.

Let us now move onto the discussion
of how accurate and consistent
summaries of people would be
at. One method which might
be undertaken is to have different
interviewers question and record
answers about people independently.
What occurs under those conditions
are essentially divergent descriptions
which cannot be accepted as
excess reliability, although

in the long term, w/ artificial
 intelligence serving as a guide
 these differences may prove
 resultant from a uniform
 language under various conditions.

In the meantime, looking at
 with this level of sophistication
 we found consistency with

The training of interpreters and
 was written. Myers Bragg is
 the same similar systems are

first the results of trained
coders, who listened &
interpreted & wrote w/ a
trained common vocabulary
such that the idea
of inter-rater reliability was
created, which means that
one person scores another
person, in the same way that
another coder/rater would.

35
Why does this matter?:

Wouldn't you prefer that
each and every person summing up

your personality did so in

a way that was similar,

not because they found it

to be that way like the

Gospels, but because

they knew you the same

way, & knew how to apply

the same words to who you are.

40 This is what inter-
reliability is, although it
was not stated this is!

We knew the facts!
could say similar stories.

Or.

We told the story!

yet

they were similar

indicating

"we all knew you."

But inter-rater reliability
relies on shared tracking!

eliminates the possibility of
alternative model based

representations or a

different languages?

perspective?

Have we not fallen to

train Myer Bridges on

alternative coding schemes,

perspectives & languages?

4² The answer is "Yes" because it does so it is not Myers Briggs

J. d. now requires consistency

outside the scope of a

planned framework ; f. d.

that trades planning for

AI understanding trained on

numerous languages. These

will come together but have

not, I would not prefer

the Myers Briggs to an

AI representation of myself⁴³
but I would also not prefer

AI representation to myself

Bravo. Nor would I prefer

myself Bravo to Big 5 or

other similar tests.

Understand: You are

no computer your data is

unnecessary. In particular by

another person. You need

to be summarized. You!

Howe summarized you!

Because you are a pattern
too

You are represented by
your pattern.

If that were identified
you would feel
you as known.

That is in the pattern
you understand because
what you do next
bits.

→ nobody fits it yet. 45

But you have the feel.

Circumstances explain why

you that it could be

different.

ⓐ

How many ways have

your pattern been represented?

None? If once you are

unscrupled & promise, ~~under~~

unless only at best for some

temporary validation. That is

me! But the ~~po~~ predictors

leads people to think they

are known such that their

future can be foretold.

Instead, understand, the

non-charismatic is trying

to feel in, not w/out how

success, ~~set~~ of representations

summary pattern ~~of~~

using their language's

vocabulary.

So what if all phrases ⁱⁿ

is vocabularies eventually

converges on a

common description in

which you would also

say "That is me!" not

only at first but later too.

What comes later is untested

one mustn't because you

cannot say what has not

happened.

A major question of
 interest creating defects in
 the whole endeavor is the
 language of the future; it just
 cannot be based upon you
 for description? Later what
 will explain, words will
 be better than when there was
 no language.

? So you must be
 content with the

49

linguistic representation

Brings up privacy, though we
may come to the possibility of
complete real-time recording
in ultra slow motion of
our entire lives from all
angles of focal, but we
that we will have an
alternative reference: datum
+ a holography to compare
w/ what was written, because
what was recorded was not

50

the same as what was
written.

we say what was

"recorded" but

what was recorded

was unplanned for

when you think of

language, sentences

↓
words.

Rather it's the image.

Images in sequence. Video.

Notes on English

Why do

synthetic systems often seem

more arbitrary

than natural systems do

or slow growth

Knowing that both are

natural?

Even more so we learn

our nature is slow

Let comprehension

Just culture \rightarrow natural \rightarrow

Collecting, biased?

- books.

De la Cruz Comera \rightarrow 1.1.12

10,000 years old

601 011 000

animal world

But we will have our

animal world

5 culture.

64

Myers Briggs Article continued...

One method that could be proposed for creating case studies would be self report from individuals but immediately this would be found faulty for inconsistencies ~~and~~ between cases? fabrication for a variety of motives - probably too many to account for.

Another approach would be to train interviewers to build case

minimised by training
interviewers to use the same
lexicon and method of coding
answers to create greater
consistency between reports.

Consistent coding allows for
more consistent interpretation
and scoring of results to
provide a score & summary
results on the basis of
quantification on the dimension used.

On reflection that approach
does seem like a natural
development that one might
experience oneself trying to develop
a similar test.

Later it becomes desirable to
remove the psychologist (tester)
intervenes from the mix to
replace by a more automated
approach using written tests
The test taker can respond to

58
independently. This has the advantage
that costs & work of the
testing is minimized; however
it is the result of first having
established test credibility using
a more manual approach that
this next step to written test
seems at all valuable.

Results from the automated
methods can be compared w/
results of manual methods to

see that inter-rater reliability is compared between human raters and the results of the test. Test-retest reliability can be established by retesting those who have already been tested on the earlier test with the later test.

By such an approach of development we have transitions from earlier manual tests

to any automated tests although
 it is not infeasible now
 to begin on written tests of new
 types following these previous apparent
 success stories.

The Meyer Briggs is one
 such test that has gone
 through the steps over a
 long history [require, validation/
 citation] Not only have these
 tests lasted many decades, they are
 currently in use and are trusted

and valued by many people who
are willing to use the
results to self-describe themselves
and to compare themselves with
others.

While popular these tools
are considered by many to have
been debunked, at least w.r.t.
respect to forms of validity,
even if not for some other
purpose facilitating self-understanding.

one relative to others or
different behaviors and
communication styles.

Like astrology, one must note
one can take a number of
categories and see that they
may equally seem to act in
self description. Astrology would
be the the descriptive summary of
lowest quality on a scale from
~~low~~ least to most useful.

Designed by Apple in California. Assembled in China. Model A1466 EMC 2925 Rated 14.85W max. 3.05A max.
Contains FCC ID: Q05-IPCM1072 and IC: 4324A-IPCM1072 CAN ICES-3 (B)/NMB-3(B) Serial C1M5DNYH1300
FC X VCCI CE

After a
answered
and into
factor
one in
years
astro
only
are
year
stren

After all, no questions are
answered, no responses heard,
and instead, other irrelevant
factors such as ~~the~~ birthdate
are used. If birth date's
years are not alone used (in
abstruse fashion in the west,
only ~~birth date~~ month/day
are needed, whereas in China,
year is relied upon. We
steadily dismissed what would be

the best description which would
 simply be complete information
~~about~~ ~~the~~ w/ the widest scope
 possible. c/f not the entire
 universe, our galaxy / solar system
 and if not that, earth, and if not
 that, you in context of the
 larger surrounding story, ~~and~~ and
 if not ^{that} ~~that~~, the story of you
 or complete or it can be w/
 incomplete information about

you

else

..

Mary

Conc

in a

reduc

pove

para

ar

all

your environment and know

else in which you add rules.

..

May 9th 2021, 3:33 p.m. Kingman, AZ

Concomitancy, the Meyer Briss,

in a field aspiring to Psych Medial

reductionism and maximal predictive

power, seeks biological patterns

parallel to the summary description

arrived at with interviewing.

But it appears the method

11 employed to create opportunities

then there will be

and similar systems have been

they have to spend production

off of the results, not only

so does a greater range of

but that has been what will be

in relation to the other side

they have to consider more, or

statements, relative to that, in the

the "Wages & Prices" discussion

in the future

related to

to the extent

possible to

the situation

relative to

they are

not only

relative to

the future

they are

in the results are not
mediated. They don't appear
to be statistical either.

~~But~~ The question is
the interviews/questionnaires
presume them. In other words
they are assumptions, without
roots connecting them into

biology. Operationalization of
the dimensions of the Myers-
Briggs requires Newcomer,

and there is no awareness
to be found in things

like

Introversions.

Support for Introversions is
popular. The influence of
professionals, in psychology or
not, leads to unquestioned
acceptance. For readers like
myself, who question introversions,
however, look at the world

Designed by Apple in California. Assembled in China. Model A1466. EMC 2925. Rated 14.65V ~ 3.05A max.
Contains FCC ID: Q05-BRCM1072 and IC: 4324A-BRCM1072. CAN ICES-3 (B)/NMB-3(B). Serial C14M5DNYB1500
FC X VG I C I C E

as

no d

hmm

The o

apud

and

to wo

those

real

mu

off

Designed by Apple in California. Assembled in China. Model A1466 EMC 2925 Rated 14.85W --- 3.05A max
Contains FCC ID: Q05-BRCM1072 and IC: 4324A-BRCM1072 CAN ICES-3 (B)/NMB-3(B) Serial: C1M5DHYB900



70 see it as operationalism
or else wait on science,
which seems inept at
fulfilling this criteria; ~~what~~
a criterion it creates,
and only pretends to use.
I deny that there is any such
thing as ~~an~~ introspection.
Particularly as a biological pattern
with a neuroscientific parallel. As
a descriptive tool, however, it
can create a somewhat useful picture
of someone, on a very narrow

introduced!
How many seconds a day is someone
you cannot measure this.
aspect of this are.

Friday, "Ma
don't it
such an
significant
equality
in the
but did
towards
behavior
Today, w
a fals

That math covers all of our
lives, and that instead of
being free in some impossible
way we hoped for, we are
completely determined.

We don't want to find

that truly, we are subject
to the patterns of nature.

And so we started, but
didn't go further, because

Math conflicts w/ freedom,

but we thought it supported
equality. It turns out
it supports both pervasive
inequality, and submission to
nature.

This is the result of
~~going~~ truly committing to
using mathematics as an
authority, not only at the
start but in the finish.

- Mattarney